From: Boyd, Andrew

Sent time: 10/24/2013 07:42:25 AM **To:** Williams, Cheryl B.

Subject: RE: Response from North Pole Refinery, Flint HIII

I think I'm the attorney on this so I don't think you need to request a permanent attorney. I mentioned the possibility that there might be a case to be made at my PARS meeting with Meg yesterday in discussing workload for the new FY. So I think we're good.

Andy

From: Williams, Cheryl B.

Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2013 7:57 AM

To: Jiles, Jordana **Cc:** Boyd, Andrew

Subject: Response from North Pole Refinery, Flint HIII

Jo,

I recieved via e-mail today, the response to the 3007 we issued last month.

I will be reviewing (NRR) the response in the next couple of weeks. I'll make sure to keep you in the loop once the review is complete so that we can code a NRR in the database.

Andy,

If I recall I only asked for ORC assignment to ensure I had a good information request - not a long term atty on this case. If after the review of the response I still believe it is case worthy I will request a permanent atty. Is that your understanding of your role also?

Thanks so much for your help on this. It is so appreciated! The mental block I had on this one was quite large and you really helped break it. Thanks so much for all the support you have provided and continue to provide to the RCRA team.

Cheers, cheryl